

Timing Considerations in Event Reconstruction

Personal status report on PSRC Working Group I11

Jim Ingleson, NYISO
j.w.ingleson@ieee.org

Report of I11

- ♦ Formed by IEEE PSRC (Power System Relay Committee; Subcommittee I
- ♦ This is an upper case letter "I."
- ♦ Latest Draft at this address:
 - <http://www.pes-psrc.org/i/i11.html>

History Lesson

- ◆ When I first started in this,
 - *Paper oscillographs*
 - *No one looked at our records but us.*
 - *We had about 10 times as many protection engineers for the same amount of transmission.*
 - *We explained some very interesting events with unsynchronized and sparse data.*

Things are different now.

- ◆ If your company is involved in a really “big one;”
- ◆ You will not have within your own company the resources to figure it all out.
- ◆ You will probably be asked to send in every record you have. (and a lot of system data, as well.)
- ◆ The remainder of your work will go on just as before the incident.

Why are there so many standards?

- ♦ Blackout of 2003 analysis essentially just ended.
- ♦ This was the best analysis ever done of such a complex event.
- ♦ NERC PRC-002 and PRC-003 say set certain standards, direct Regions to set many others for themselves.
- ♦ NPCC as an example now has a Criteria and 3 guidelines. The guidelines preceded the PRCs; the criteria followed PRCs.

NERC Project 2007-11

- ♦ A draft SAR to revise PRC-002 and 018 was posted for the period 3/22 to 4/20
- ♦ Project Team 2007-11 will revise the SAR and seek approval.
- ♦ A Standards drafting team will then be formed work on a revision.
- ♦ This team will examine the Regional document which have been prepared.
- ♦ Important for team to decide which matters should be in NERC Standard and which should be left to the Regions.

What is the goal anyway?

- ♦ To have an appearance of having “tough” demanding standards?
- ♦ To actually enable a disturbance team to reconstruct a disturbance? This in turn implies:
 - *Simulation*
 - *Narrative*

I11 Report

- ♦ Could be essentially completed at the May 15-17 Meet in Nashville.
- ♦ If this were accomplished, the final version would probably appear in the website:
<http://www.pes-psrc.org/i/I11.html> between May and September.
- ♦ The remaining slides are titled similarly to sections of the report, and thus are representative of report contents.

Time Scale

- ♦ Think of it as the “Ticks.”
- ♦ There really is no choice of time scales, the only reasonable choice is UTC.
- ♦ Meaning of the word “coordinated.”
- ♦ The remain other time scales, for example UT1, TAI.
- ♦ It is proving to be very hard to get people to separate a related discussion, which is time zone.

UTC

- ♦ Universal Coordinated Time (Scale)
- ♦ Characteristics of UTC are invariant second, and the occasional need for leap seconds.
- ♦ Most of comments, discussion and disagreement on this sections has in fact to do with time zone, which is a separate question.
- ♦ I would agree if necessary to leave this second out of the l11 report.

GPS

- ♦ GPS Time Scale is derivative of USNO which is derivative of NIST.
- ♦ I suggest no one ever refer to GPS Time, a potential for confusion.
- ♦ The Time Scale supplied of of a GPS clock can be considered to be UTC, accurate enough for the purpose.

GPS Clocks

- ♦ Previous to around 2002, the “high accuracy” option was offered.
- ♦ After around 2002, the high accuracy option “standard.”
- ♦ The output of Modern GPS clocks or older ones with the option can be considered to be essential perfect for the purpose.

Time Zone Offsets

- ◆ For local convenience, the general public time is usually offset from “raw” UTC.
- ◆ Time keeping in field which transcend time zone boundaries simply use “raw” UTC.
- ◆ Power industry should do the same.

13

Timing of System Events

- ◆ Record Initiation Time (trigger time)
- ◆ Fault Inception Time
- ◆ Current Zero Time – So far the group has adopted the NPCC convention that the time to be reported is the time of current zero in the last phase to interrupt.
- ◆ Fault Clearing Time

14

Event Inputs

- ◆ Reliable sensing of a change in contact status takes time. Contact deblunce function introduces a delay. Obviously any auxiliary relay introduces delay. So far groups has arrived at a great range of possible delays from less than a ms to many ms.

Delay and Distortion in DME Inputs

- ◆ CCVTs are though to be significant sources of errors.
- ◆ CTs thought to be much better.
- ◆ Other burdens have capacitance inserted to meet transient withstand specs.

Delay in Recorders

- ◆ Based on 2 actual events, this can be up to several ms.
- ◆ First even cap. Bank fault.
- ◆ Second event line fault.

Network Protocol Accuracy

- ◆ Network synchronization can be as good or better than IRIG-B if the engineering is good.

Local IED Internal Clock

- ♦ Can be synchronized very closely to incoming IRIG-B or network protocol.
- ♦ Runs 'free' is incoming synchronizing interrupted.

IRIG-B

- ♦ Suppose we have a substation IRIG-B wiring network that has too much wiring is not carefully done, has too many devices.
- ♦ IRIG-B driver has it limits.
- ♦ Result would be that some devices on the network would miss some of the synchronizing pulses.
- ♦ If devices miss pulses they continue on their own clocks.
- ♦ I believe we have a gap to cover here. Not all DME devices make this know via alarm of make this apparent to the used.

IRIG-B Accuracy

- ◆ We have arrived at a statement in the report that indicates the accuracy of a wired IRIG-B network should be better than 1 ms.